

Writes arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence.

	4 - Exceeds	3 - Proficient	2 - Approaching	1 - Beginning
Understanding	Demonstrates a deep understanding of content	Shows a solid understanding of content	Demonstrates a limited understanding of content	Does not demonstrate understanding, or shows a misunderstanding, of content
Organization/ Purpose	<p>Effective or engaging introduction.</p> <p>Claim is insightful or compelling</p> <p>Effective or engaging conclusion</p> <p>Logical progression of ideas: strong connections between and among ideas</p> <p>Uses a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence</p>	<p>Introduction provides the context needed to understand the text, topic or issue.</p> <p>Claim is precise and clearly stated, and mostly maintained through the piece.</p> <p>Acknowledges and distinguishes claim from alternate or opposing claim</p> <p>Concluding statement or section follows clearly from and supports the argument presented; reflects on significance of issue and / or claim</p> <p>Creates an organizational structure that establishes clear relationships among claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence, both between and within paragraphs</p> <p>Uses words, phrases, and clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships among claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence</p>	<p>Introduction may not provide needed context. May be weakly related to text, topic, or issue. Claim may be unclear and/or insufficiently maintained</p> <p>Conclusion is only weakly related to the argument presented.</p> <p>Connections between and among ideas are unclear</p> <p>Incorrectly uses transitional strategies and/or little variety in strategies applied</p>	<p>Introduction may be missing or off-topic</p> <p>Claim is confusing or ambiguous or missing</p> <p>Conclusion may be missing or off-topic.</p> <p>Frequent extraneous ideas; ideas may be randomly ordered. may rely on opinion instead of evidence</p> <p>Few or no transitions used</p>

Note: Descriptors in **bold** are taken directly from the CCSS for this grade level. Some of the language used in this rubric has been adapted from the SBAC and PAARC rubrics.

Evidence/ Elaboration	Effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques; evidence from the source material is integrated as needed; evidence is relevant, specific, and exceptionally well-chosen; insightful reasoning	<p>Develops the claim with clear reasons and relevant evidence from accurate, credible sources; uses clear and logical reasoning to develop reasons and evidence; evidence integrated as needed</p> <p>Counterclaim / opposing claims are accurately rebutted</p> <p>Develops claims and counterclaims fairly, supplying evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner which anticipates the audience’s knowledge level and concerns</p> <p>Cites sources within body of essay</p>	Claim is insufficiently developed with reasons and evidence from source materials; evidence may be imprecise, repetitive or vague. Counterclaim may be missing or not developed.	Supporting facts and details are minimal, irrelevant, absent, in error or incorrectly used
	Word choice is nuanced and clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	Uses precise language and domain-specific vocabulary to support and develop the claim	Uses some domain-specific vocabulary	Uses basic vocabulary, and simple or repetitive sentence structure
Style	Formal style and tone enhance the overall effectiveness of the writing	Establishes and maintains a formal style and respectful, thoughtful tone; attends to the norms and conventions of the	Style / tone may be informal, inconsistent, or inappropriate	No evidence of intentional formal style evident; style /tone may be inappropriate

*Note: Descriptors in **bold** are taken directly from the CCSS for this grade level. Some of the language used in this rubric has been adapted from the SBAC and PAARC rubrics.*

		discipline in which they are writing		
Conventions	Few, if any, errors in usage and sentence formation Effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling	Some errors in usage and sentence formation are present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed Adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling	Frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning Inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling	Errors in usage and/or punctuation, capitalization, and spelling are frequent and severe, and meaning is often obscured

*Note: Descriptors in **bold** are taken directly from the CCSS for this grade level. Some of the language used in this rubric has been adapted from the SBAC and PAARC rubrics.*